# Exhibit A

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

# SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NASSAU

NICHOLAS CEGLIO; DANNY COMMERTON; CHRISTINE FERGUSON; LAURA LAURIDIA; STEVEN V. MILLER; THERESA MONTELEONE; ANGELO MUNNI; ROBERT SPRAGUE; DONALD TIEDEMANN; and MARYANN THORNTON,

Plaintiffs,

v.

NORTHROP GRUMMAN CORPORATION; and NORTHROP GRUMMAN SYSTEMS CORPORATION,

Defendants.

**Tenth Judicial District** 

#### **SUMMONS**

Plaintiffs designate: Nassau County as the Place of Trial

Basis of venue is: Plaintiffs' Place of Residence and Defendants' Place of Business

#### TO THE ABOVE-NAMED DEFENDANTS:

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED to answer the complaint in this action and to serve upon the Plaintiffs' attorney a copy of your answer or notice of appearance within twenty (20) days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service, or within thirty (30) days after the service is complete if this summons is not personally delivered to you within the State of New York. In case of your failure to answer or appear, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the complaint.

This space intentionally left blank
Signature on the next page

FILED: NASSAU COUNTY CLERK 08/11/2023 12:22 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

/s/ Robert Gitelman

Robert Gitelman, Esq.

NAPOLI SHKOLNIK PLLC

400 Broadhollow Road

Suite 305

Melville NY 11747-4810

Tel. (212) 397-1000

rgitelman@napolilaw.com

Counsel for Plaintiffs

#### TO:

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 250 West 55th Street New York NY 10019-9601 Tel. (212) 468-8000

Dated: August 11, 2023

Melville, New York

Counsel for Defendants Northrop Grumman Corporation and Northrop Grumman Systems Corporation FILED: NASSAU COUNTY CLERK 08/11/2023 12:22 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

#### **DEFENDANTS RIDER**

1. NORTHROP GRUMMAN CORPORATION

THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY CORPORATION TRUST CENTER 1209 NORTH ORANGE STREET WILMINGTON DE 19801-1120

2. NORTHROP GRUMMAN SYSTEMS CORPORATION

THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY CORPORATION TRUST CENTER 1209 NORTH ORANGE STREET WILMINGTON DE 19801-1120 NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

# SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NASSAU

NICHOLAS CEGLIO; DANNY COMMERTON; CHRISTINE FERGUSON; LAURA LAURIDIA; STEVEN V. MILLER; THERESA MONTELEONE; ANGELO MUNNI; ROBERT SPRAGUE; DONALD TIEDEMANN; and MARYANN THORNTON,

Plaintiffs,

v.

NORTHROP GRUMMAN CORPORATION; and NORTHROP GRUMMAN SYSTEMS CORPORATION,

Defendants.

<b>Index No:</b>	

**Tenth Judicial District** 

**VERIFIED COMPLAINT** 

The above-captioned Plaintiffs ("Plaintiffs"), by and through their attorneys, Napoli Shkolnik PLLC and Environmental Litigation Group P.C., complaining of NORTHROP GRUMMAN CORPORATION and NORTHROP GRUMMAN SYSTEMS CORPORATION ("Defendants"), as and for their Verified Complaint, hereby allege as follows:

### **INTRODUCTION**

- 1. Plaintiffs are all individuals who are current or former residents and/or property owners in or around Bethpage, New York, and nearby communities located within the Town of Oyster Bay and the Town of Hempstead in Nassau County ("Bethpage area").
- 2. Plaintiffs reside or resided in the vicinity of the area formerly known as the Grumman Aerospace Bethpage Facility Site, including the Northrop Grumman Bethpage Facility, the Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant Bethpage ("NWIRP"), and the Grumman Steel Los Site.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

3. Many of the toxic waste products used in the operations at the above facilities were disposed of by the Defendants on site, including on an adjacent parcel, which was later donated by Grumman to the Town of Oyster Bay and became known as Bethpage Community Park ("park").

- 4. Collectively, the Grumman-Navy facilities consisting of approximately 635 acres in Nassau County, including the Grumman Aerospace-Bethpage Facility Site, the Northrop Grumman – Bethpage Facility, NWIRP, the Grumman Steel Los Site and the park shall be referred to as the "Site".
- 5. Upon information and belief, Grumman Aeronautical Engineering was incorporated in New York in 1929 and changed its name to "Grumman Corporation" in 1969. Grumman Corporation's headquarters were in Bethpage, New York. Grumman Aerospace Corporation was a subsidiary of Grumman Corporation, with its principal place of business in Bethpage, New York. Collectively, Grumman Corporation and Grumman Aerospace Corporation are referred to herein as "Grumman."
- In 1994, Grumman was acquired by Northrop Corporation. The two companies merged in 1995 to become Northrop Grumman Corporation.
- 7. During several decades while it operated at the Site, Grumman, an airplane, weapons and satellite manufacturer with significant U.S. Department of Defense contracts, generated, stored, and disposed of toxic contaminants and manufacturing byproducts, including, but not limited to, volatile organic compounds ("VOCs") including trichloroethylene ("TCE"), semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs), metals including hexavalent chromium, polychlorinated biphenyls ("PCBs"), aromatic hydrocarbons, radioactive materials, 1,4-Dioxane, per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances ("PFAS"), and other waste products at the Site. Collectively, these substances shall be referred to herein as Contaminants.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

Grumman's practices, toxic air emissions, discharges and dumping of 8. Contaminants resulted in extensive pollution at the Site and contaminated off-Site soils, air, groundwater and drinking water supplies in the area, causing Plaintiffs' injuries.

- 9. Toxic air emissions from Grumman's industrial operations polluted the air in the Specifically, large amounts of hexavalent chromium and surrounding area for decades. Trichloroethylene ("TCE") and other pollutants were discharged into the air from the facilities.
- 10. In addition, due to the negligent, willful, and/or wanton actions of the Defendants, large quantities of Contaminants were released at the Site and created massive migrating plumes of contaminated groundwater.
- 11. These plumes, designated by the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation ("DEC") as Operable Unit 2 ("OU-2") and Operable Unit 3 ("OU-3"), have resulted in the migration of the Contaminants onto Plaintiffs' properties.
- 12. The contamination of groundwater also severely impacted the drinking water supplies of several of the neighboring communities, which rely entirely on Long Island's sole source aquifer.
- 13. Furthermore, heavily contaminated soil in and around Bethpage Community Park led to direct toxic exposure for generations of residents who used the Park's facilities.
- 14. Contaminants from the Site also caused pollution of soil and soil vapor intrusion at residential properties and neighborhood schools.
- 15. As a result of their exposure to the Contaminants originating at the Site, Plaintiffs have and continue to suffer injuries, including significant health impairments and future health concerns.

FILED: NASSAU COUNTY CLERK 08/11/2023 12:22 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

16. In or about September 2016, a class action complaint was filed in this Court against the above Defendants and the Town of Oyster Bay under Index No. 607036/16 ("class action"). The class action, which is now pending in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New

York, was filed on behalf of Bethpage area residents and/or homeowners whose properties and

person have been adversely impacted by the above contamination.

17. The class action seeks damages for the plaintiffs' and putative class members' past

and continued exposure to toxic contaminants, the loss of use and diminution of value of plaintiffs'

properties, loss of quality of life and medical monitoring, as well as punitive damages.

18. The instant action states individual claims for personal injury and other damages

based on the same causes of action, namely, negligence, strict liability for abnormally dangerous

activity, private nuisance and trespass.

**JURISDICTION** 

19. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendants, as each of them is doing

business in the State of New York and owns property in the State of New York, such that it is

reasonably foreseeable that they would be subject to the jurisdiction of the courts of this state.

20. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction in this action based on the claims herein,

which are all based on New York state law and the local nature of this case.

**VENUE** 

21. This case is properly venued in this Court, pursuant to CPLR §503(a), because

most of the Plaintiffs reside in Nassau County.

22. This case is properly venued in this Court, pursuant to CPLR §503(c). Specifically,

Defendants and/or their predecessors and/or successors own(ed) and/or operate(d) the Site at the

- 4 -

INDEX NO. 612842/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

time of the disposal and/or release and/or failure to remediate the impacts of hazardous and toxic substances, causing Plaintiffs' injuries in this County.

23. This case is properly venued in this Court, pursuant to CPLR §507, because Defendants' actions and omissions have and continue to affect the use and enjoyment of Plaintiffs' real property in this County.

### THE PARTIES: PLAINTIFFS

- 24. The Plaintiffs are current or former residents and/or current property owners in the Bethpage area in the vicinity of the Site and/or the contaminated groundwater plumes emanating from the Site.
  - 25. Many of the Plaintiffs have and/or continue to visit and use the Park for recreation.
- 26. The Plaintiffs' causes of action related to soil, water, air contamination and toxic exposure are continuing in nature.
- 27. The hazardous and toxic substances described herein are environmental contaminants as set out in Section 6.8.1 of the ATSDR Public Health Assessment Guidance Manual. Plaintiffs are an 'exposed population' as defined therein:

A population is considered exposed if a completed exposure pathway, which links a contaminant with a receptor population, exists in the past, present, or future. An exposed population includes persons who ingest, inhale, or contact site contaminants or are exposed to radiation in the past, present, or future. Examples of exposed persons include those who:

- have ingested, are ingesting, or will ingest the contaminant from one or more environmental media:
- have inhaled, are inhaling, or will inhale the contaminant from one or more environmental media;

COUNTY CLERK

SCEF DOC. NO.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

have contacted, are contacting, or will contact the contaminant in one or

more environmental media; and

were exposed, are exposed, or will be exposed to gamma radiation from one

or more environmental media.

If an environmental medium (soil) contains a contaminant of concern at a point of exposure (a residential yard), and evidence already exists that a route of exposure (ingestion) has occurred, is occurring, or will occur, the health assessor should assume that persons living at that residence are exposed or will be exposed. If the residential yard contains a vacant house, the health assessor should assume that future residents will be exposed. Persons should also be considered exposed if exposure has been verified by human biologic measurements or medical examination. For health assessments, human biologic measurements or medical examination are not necessary for the assignment of an exposure category to a

28. Defendants' conduct was grossly negligent, intentional, conscious, and was undertaken with callous and malicious disregard for the health, well-being and safety of Plaintiffs and others.

29. As a consequence of the foregoing misconduct on the part of Defendants, each of the Plaintiffs sustained and with reasonable probability will in the future sustain the following injuries and/or damages:

- a) physical pain and suffering;
- b) physical disabilities;
- c) mental anguish;

population.

- d) loss of the enjoyment of life's pleasures;
- e) inability to participate in his/her usual employment and activities;
- f) lost income and lost earning opportunities;
- g) medical expenses (past and future);
- h) economic loss;

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

i) loss of companionship, services and support (for decedent estate representatives); and

j) was otherwise damaged.

30. In addition, Plaintiffs who are current homeowners in the vicinity of the Site and

the contaminated groundwater plumes have and will continue to sustain property damages

including, but not limited to the difference between the current value of their property and such

value if the harm had not been done, stigma damages, private nuisance, trespass and the cost of

repair or restoration.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

31. Each of the Plaintiffs brings suit against each of the Defendants for each cause of

action listed herein and seeks general damages directly and foreseeably resulting from Defendants'

actions, as well as nominal, consequential damages, and punitive damages, as allowed by law and

in an amount to be proved at trial.

32. The amounts of damages sought herein exceed the jurisdictional limits of all lower

courts which would otherwise have jurisdiction.

Facts and Claims Relating to Individual Plaintiffs

Plaintiff, Nicholas Ceglio, currently resides at 149 Dauphine Street, 33.

Fuquay-Varina NC 27526-4487.

34. For one or more years prior to 1995, this Plaintiff resided at Sycamore Avenue,

Bethpage NY 11714; 4055 Avoca Avenue, Bethpage NY 11714; and 320 Stewart Avenue,

Bethpage NY 11714 ("properties"). These properties are located in the vicinity of the Site and/or

the contaminated groundwater plumes migrating from the Site.

During Grumman's operations, residents at the property, including this Plaintiff, 35.

were exposed to toxic air emissions from the Site.

- 7 -

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 612842/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

36. As a result of Defendants' negligence in the manufacturing process and processing, distribution, transporting, storing, handling and/or disposing of toxic and/or hazardous substances at the Site, Contaminants were discharged into the soil, air, and groundwater at the Site and the surrounding area, including Plaintiff's residence.

- 37. This Plaintiff was exposed to the Contaminants at the property, the neighborhood, including the Park, and other areas at or near the Site, through inhalation of air pollutants, ingestion and direct dermal contact with surface and subsurface soil and contaminated water.
- 38. As a result of the toxic exposures described above, this Plaintiff has developed significant debilitating personal injuries, including but not limited to the injuries set forth below.
- 39. In or around 2004, Nicholas Ceglio was diagnosed with Lung Cancer, a condition caused or contributed to by the Contaminants.
- 40. As a result of Defendants' reckless, negligent, and grossly negligent conduct, this Plaintiff has and continues to suffer severe physical injury, pain, and suffering.
- 41. Plaintiff, Danny Commerton, currently resides at 230 Crane Place, Levittown NY 11756-5014 ("property") and has resided there for one or more years prior to 1995.
- 42. This property is located in the vicinity of the Site and/or the contaminated groundwater plumes migrating from the Site.
- 43. During Grumman's operations, residents at the property, including this Plaintiff, were exposed to toxic air emissions from the Site.
- 44. As a result of Defendants' negligence in the manufacturing process and processing, distribution, transporting, storing, handling and/or disposing of toxic and/or hazardous substances at the Site, Contaminants were discharged into the soil, air and groundwater at the Site and the surrounding area, including Plaintiff's residence.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

45. This Plaintiff was exposed to the Contaminants at the property, the neighborhood, including the Park, and other areas at or near the Site, through inhalation of air pollutants, ingestion and direct dermal contact with surface and subsurface soil and contaminated water.

- 46. As a result of the toxic exposures described above, this Plaintiff has developed significant debilitating personal injuries, including but not limited to the injuries set forth below.
- 47. In or around 2022, Danny Commerton was diagnosed with Lung Cancer, a condition caused or contributed to by the Contaminants.
- 48. As a result of Defendants' reckless, negligent, and grossly negligent conduct, this Plaintiff has and continues to suffer severe physical injury, pain, and suffering.
- 49. Plaintiff, Christine Ferguson, currently resides at 120 Arden Boulevard, West Hempstead NY 11552-1228.
- 50. For one or more years prior to 1995, this Plaintiff resided at 83 North Fordham Road, Hicksville NY 11801-6017 ("property"). This property is located in the vicinity of the Site and/or the contaminated groundwater plumes migrating from the Site.
- 51. During Grumman's operations, residents at the properties, including this Plaintiff, were exposed to toxic air emissions from the Site.
- 52. As a result of Defendants' negligence in the manufacturing process and processing, distribution, transporting, storing, handling and/or disposing of toxic and/or hazardous substances at the Site, Contaminants were discharged into the soil, air and groundwater at the Site and the surrounding area, including Plaintiff's residence.
- This Plaintiff was exposed to the Contaminants at the properties, the neighborhood, 53. including the Park, and other areas at or near the Site, through inhalation of air pollutants, ingestion and direct dermal contact with surface and subsurface soil and contaminated water.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

54. As a result of the toxic exposures described above, this Plaintiff has developed

significant debilitating personal injuries, including but not limited to the injuries set forth below.

55. In or around 2019, Christine Ferguson was diagnosed with Lung Cancer,

condition caused or contributed to by the Contaminants.

56. As a result of Defendants' reckless, negligent, and grossly negligent conduct, this

Plaintiff has and continues to suffer severe physical injury, pain, and suffering.

57. Plaintiff, Laura Lauridia, currently resides at 6 Raphael Boulevard,

**Nesconset NY 11767-2710.** 

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

58. For one or more years prior to 1995, this Plaintiff resided at 14 Eleanor Road,

Plainview NY 11803-5406 ("property"). This property is located in the vicinity of the Site and/or

the contaminated groundwater plumes migrating from the Site.

59. During Grumman's operations, residents at the property, including this Plaintiff,

were exposed to toxic air emissions from the Site.

60. As a result of Defendants' negligence in the manufacturing process and processing,

distribution, transporting, storing, handling and/or disposing of toxic and/or hazardous substances

at the Site, Contaminants were discharged into the soil, air and groundwater at the Site and the

surrounding area, including Plaintiff's residence.

61. This Plaintiff was exposed to the Contaminants at the property, the neighborhood,

including the Park, and other areas at or near the Site, through inhalation of air pollutants, ingestion

and direct dermal contact with surface and subsurface soil and contaminated water.

62. As a result of the toxic exposures described above, this Plaintiff has developed

significant debilitating personal injuries, including but not limited to the injuries set forth below.

- 10 -

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

63. In or around 2003, Laura Lauridia was diagnosed with Lung Cancer, a condition caused or contributed to by the Contaminants.

- 64. As a result of Defendants' reckless, negligent, and grossly negligent conduct, this Plaintiff has and continues to suffer severe physical injury, pain, and suffering.
- 65. Plaintiff, Steven V. Miller, currently resides at 685 East Meadow Avenue, **East Meadow NY 11554-5227.**
- 66. For one or more years prior to 1995, this Plaintiff resided at 4195 Florence Road, 11714-6207 and 22 Lincoln Boulevard, Bethpage NY Bethpage NY 11714-5231 ("properties"). These properties are located in the vicinity of the Site and/or the contaminated groundwater plumes migrating from the Site.
- 67. During Grumman's operations, residents at the property, including this Plaintiff, were exposed to toxic air emissions from the Site.
- 68. As a result of Defendants' negligence in the manufacturing process and processing, distribution, transporting, storing, handling and/or disposing of toxic and/or hazardous substances at the Site, Contaminants were discharged into the soil, air and groundwater at the Site and the surrounding area, including Plaintiff's residence.
- 69. This Plaintiff was exposed to the Contaminants at the property, the neighborhood, including the Park, and other areas at or near the Site, through inhalation of air pollutants, ingestion and direct dermal contact with surface and subsurface soil and contaminated water.
- 70. As a result of the toxic exposures described above, this Plaintiff has developed significant debilitating personal injuries, including but not limited to the injuries set forth below.
- 71. In or around 2017, Steven V. Miller was diagnosed with Lung Cancer, a condition caused or contributed to by the Contaminants.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

72. As a result of Defendants' reckless, negligent, and grossly negligent conduct, this Plaintiff has and continues to suffer severe physical injury, pain, and suffering.

- 73. Plaintiff, Theresa Monteleone, currently resides at 230 South Pershing Avenue, Bethpage NY 11714-4344 ("property") and has resided there for one or more years prior to 1995.
- 74. This property is located in the vicinity of the Site and/or the contaminated groundwater plumes migrating from the Site.
- 75. During Grumman's operations, residents at the property, including this Plaintiff, were exposed to toxic air emissions from the Site.
- 76. As a result of Defendants' negligence in the manufacturing process and processing, distribution, transporting, storing, handling and/or disposing of toxic and/or hazardous substances at the Site, Contaminants were discharged into the soil, air and groundwater at the Site and the surrounding area, including Plaintiff's residence.
- 77. This Plaintiff was exposed to the Contaminants at the property, the neighborhood, including the Park, and other areas at or near the Site, through inhalation of air pollutants, ingestion and direct dermal contact with surface and subsurface soil and contaminated water.
- 78. As a result of the toxic exposures described above, this Plaintiff has developed significant debilitating personal injuries, including but not limited to the injuries set forth below.
- 79. In or around 2019, Theresa Monteleone was diagnosed with Lung Cancer, a condition caused or contributed to by the Contaminants.
- 80. As a result of Defendants' reckless, negligent, and grossly negligent conduct, this Plaintiff has and continues to suffer severe physical injury, pain, and suffering.
- 81. Plaintiff, Angelo Munni, currently resides at 34 Bradford Lane, Bethpage NY 11714-4631 ("property") and has resided there for one or more years prior to 1995.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

82. This property is located in the vicinity of the Site and/or the contaminated groundwater plumes migrating from the Site.

- 83. During Grumman's operations, residents at the property, including this Plaintiff, were exposed to toxic air emissions from the Site.
- 84. As a result of Defendants' negligence in the manufacturing process and processing, distribution, transporting, storing, handling and/or disposing of toxic and/or hazardous substances at the Site, Contaminants were discharged into the soil, air and groundwater at the Site and the surrounding area, including Plaintiff's residence.
- 85. This Plaintiff was exposed to the Contaminants at the property, the neighborhood, including the Park, and other areas at or near the Site, through inhalation of air pollutants, ingestion and direct dermal contact with surface and subsurface soil and contaminated water.
- 86. As a result of the toxic exposures described above, this Plaintiff has developed significant debilitating personal injuries, including but not limited to the injuries set forth below.
- 87. In or around 2015, Angelo Munni was diagnosed with Lung Cancer, a condition caused or contributed to by the Contaminants.
- 88. As a result of Defendants' reckless, negligent, and grossly negligent conduct, this Plaintiff has and continues to suffer severe physical injury, pain, and suffering.
- 89. Plaintiff, Robert Sprague, currently resides at 18 Peg Court, Hicksville NY 11801-5815 ("property") and has resided there for one or more years prior to 1995.
- 90. This property is located in the vicinity of the Site and/or the contaminated groundwater plumes migrating from the Site.
- During Grumman's operations, residents at the properties, including this Plaintiff, 91. were exposed to toxic air emissions from the Site.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

92. As a result of Defendants' negligence in the manufacturing process and processing, distribution, transporting, storing, handling and/or disposing of toxic and/or hazardous substances at the Site, Contaminants were discharged into the soil, air and groundwater at the Site and the surrounding area, including Plaintiff's residences.

- 93. This Plaintiff was exposed to the Contaminants at the properties, the neighborhood, including the Park, and other areas at or near the Site, through inhalation of air pollutants, ingestion and direct dermal contact with surface and subsurface soil and contaminated water.
- 94. As a result of the toxic exposures described above, this Plaintiff has developed significant debilitating personal injuries, including but not limited to the injuries set forth below.
- 95. In or around 2017, Robert Sprague was diagnosed with Laryngeal Cancer, a condition caused or contributed to by the Contaminants.
- 96. As a result of Defendants' reckless, negligent, and grossly negligent conduct, this Plaintiff has and continues to suffer severe physical injury, pain, and suffering.
- 97. Plaintiff, Donald Tiedemann, currently resides at 44 Garden Boulevard, Hicksville NY 11801-5931 ("property") and has resided there for one or more years prior to 1995.
- 98. This property is located in the vicinity of the Site and/or the contaminated groundwater plumes migrating from the Site.
- 99. During Grumman's operations, residents at the property, including this Plaintiff, were exposed to toxic air emissions from the Site.
- 100. As a result of Defendants' negligence in the manufacturing process and processing, distribution, transporting, storing, handling and/or disposing of toxic and/or hazardous substances at the Site, Contaminants were discharged into the soil, air and groundwater at the Site and the surrounding area, including Plaintiff's residence.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

101. This Plaintiff was exposed to the Contaminants at the property, the neighborhood, including the Park, and other areas at or near the Site, through inhalation of air pollutants, ingestion and direct dermal contact with surface and subsurface soil and contaminated water.

- 102. As a result of the toxic exposures described above, this Plaintiff has developed significant debilitating personal injuries, including but not limited to the injuries set forth below.
- 103. In or around 2022, Donald Tiedemann was diagnosed with Lung Cancer, a condition caused or contributed to by the Contaminants.
- As a result of Defendants' reckless, negligent, and grossly negligent conduct, this 104. Plaintiff has and continues to suffer severe physical injury, pain, and suffering.
- 105. Plaintiff, Maryann Thornton, currently resides at 340 Kensington Lane, Bethpage NY 11714-4516 ("property") and has resided there for one or more years prior to 1995.
- 106. This property is located in the vicinity of the Site and/or the contaminated groundwater plumes migrating from the Site.
- During Grumman's operations, residents at the properties, including this Plaintiff, 107. were exposed to toxic air emissions from the Site.
- 108. As a result of Defendants' negligence in the manufacturing process and processing, distribution, transporting, storing, handling and/or disposing of toxic and/or hazardous substances at the Site, Contaminants were discharged into the soil, air and groundwater at the Site and the surrounding area, including Plaintiff's residences.
- 109. This Plaintiff was exposed to the Contaminants at the properties, the neighborhood, including the Park, and other areas at or near the Site, through inhalation of air pollutants, ingestion and direct dermal contact with surface and subsurface soil and contaminated water.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 612842/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

As a result of the toxic exposures described above, this Plaintiff has developed 110.

significant debilitating personal injuries, including but not limited to the injuries set forth below.

In or around 2017, Maryann Thornton was diagnosed with Throat Cancer, a 111.

condition caused or contributed to by the Contaminants.

112. As a result of Defendants' reckless, negligent, and grossly negligent conduct, this

Plaintiff has and continues to suffer severe physical injury, pain, and suffering.

<u>THE PARTIES : DEFENDANTS</u>

113. Upon information and belief, Defendant, Northrop Grumman Corporation ("NGC"

"Grumman" or "Defendant"), is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware,

with its principal place of business at 2980 Fairview Park Drive in Falls Church, Virginia.

Upon information and belief, Northrop Corporation, the predecessor to Northrop 114.

Grumman Corporation, acquired Grumman Corporation, whose primary place of business was in

Bethpage, New York, and was incorporated under the laws of the State of New York.

Defendant, Northrop Grumman Systems Corporation ("NGSC" or "Defendant"), is 115.

a subsidiary of NGC, and is organized under the laws of the State of Delaware with its headquarters

in Falls Church, Virginia. Upon information and belief, NGSC is the successor-in-interest to the

Grumman subsidiary, Grumman Aerospace Corporation, which was headquartered in Bethpage,

New York, and was incorporated under the laws of the State of New York.

116. NGC and/or NGSC or their predecessors owned and/or operated the Site at the time

of the disposal and/or release of the hazardous and toxic contaminants at the Site.

117. When reference is made in this Verified Complaint to any act or omission of any

of the Defendants, it shall be deemed that the officers, directors, agents, employees or

representatives of the Defendants committed or authorized such act or omission, or failed to

- 16 -

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

adequately supervise or properly control or direct their employees while engaged in the

management, direction, operation or control of the affairs of Defendants, and did so while acting

within the scope of their duties, employment or agency.

118. The term "Defendant" or "Defendants" refers to both Defendants named herein

jointly and severally, including their predecessors.

119. Each of the Defendants are responsible, negligently, intentionally and/or in some

actionable manner, for the events and happenings referred to herein, and caused and continue to

cause injuries and damages legally thereby to Plaintiffs, as alleged, either through each Defendant's

own conduct or through the conduct of their agents, servants or employees, or due to the

ownership, maintenance or control of the instrumentality causing them injury, or in some other

actionable manner.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS AS TO ALL COUNTS

The Contaminants

120. Many toxic chemicals were used and discharged into the environment by the

Defendants during their operations. The list is by no means closed and is only meant to highlight

some of the Contaminants released at the Site by Defendants.

121. Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) are emitted gases from certain solids and

liquids, such as paints varnishes, wax, pesticides, products for cleaning, disinfecting, cosmetic,

degreasing, and other products. Health effects of exposure to VOCs include eye, nose and throat

irritation headaches, loss of coordination and nausea, damage to liver, kidney and central nervous

system. Some VOCs are known to be carcinogenic.

122. Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (SVOCs) are a subgroup of VOCs. They are

highly toxic and difficult to decompose. Most can cause cancer, reproductive disorders, nervous

- 17 -

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

system damage, and immune system disruption. Many polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs),

a major group of SVOCs, cause cancer, endocrine disruption and affect the immune system.

Trichloroethylene ("TCE") is a colorless, volatile, man-made liquid chemical that

is used by industry as, among other things, a solvent to remove grease from metal parts. TCE can

be released into the air, water, and soil at locations where it is produced, stored, used, or

discharged. The United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") and the International

Agency for Research on Cancer ("IARC") classify TCE as a human carcinogen.

As used herein, TCE shall include all of its trade names, including but not limited

to Acetylene, Anameth, Benzinol, Pholex and any of its degradation breakdown products.

TCE can enter groundwater systems through improper disposal or leaks into the 125.

ground. TCE is highly mobile once it enters the soil and will result in substantial percolation into

groundwater aquifers. TCE can remain in groundwater for long periods of time since it cannot

readily evaporate from groundwater.

123.

126. TCE can enter the human body from the air, water, or soil.

127. TCE is extremely toxic to humans, even at low concentrations. Acute exposure to

TCE has been shown to affect the central nervous system, liver, and kidneys in humans. Chronic

exposure to TCE may cause liver and kidney damage, impaired immune system function, and

impaired fetal development in pregnant women.

128. TCE is toxic by inhalation, by prolonged or repeated contact with the skin or

mucous membrane, or when taken by mouth. Inhalation of TCE is associated with a higher risk of

kidney cancer, renal cell carcinoma, liver cancer, non-Hodgkin lymphoma, myeloma, breast

cancer, scleroderma (systemic sclerosis) and chronic infertility.

- 18 -

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

129. Polychlorinated Biphenyls ("PCBs") are odorless volatile synthetic organic chemicals, which are either oily liquids or solids. PCBs enter the environment as mixtures

containing a variety of individual chlorinated biphenyl components as well as impurities.

130. A manufacturing ban for PCBs took effect in 1979 in the United States because

there was evidence that PCBs build up in the environment and may cause harmful effects.

131. Once released into the environment, PCBs do not readily break down and therefore

remain for long periods of time cycling between air, water, and soil. PCBs can be carried long

distances and have been found in snow and sea water in areas far away from where they were

released into the environment.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

PCBs have been demonstrated to cause cancer, as well as a variety of other adverse

health effects on the immune system, reproductive system, nervous system, and endocrine system.

The IARC classifies PCB as a human carcinogen.

2-Butanone (Methyl Ethyl Ketone) is a colorless and volatile liquid used as a 133.

solvent and an additive for making other chemicals. It is widely used in paints, glues, and other

finishes because it rapidly evaporates and will dissolve many substances.

2- Butanone will not stick to soil, and if it is spilled onto soil, it will travel through 134.

the soil into underground water sources. Some of the 2-butanone found in soil or water will also

evaporate to the air.

135. Exposure to 2-butanone in humans can cause irritation to the eyes, nose, and

throat. Chronic inhalation studies in animals have reported slight neurological, liver, kidney, and

respiratory effects. Developmental effects, including decreased fetal weight and fetal

malformations, have been reported in mice and rats exposed to this chemical via inhalation and

ingestion.

- 19 -

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

Perchloroethylene ("PERC") is a manufactured chemical that is widely used for 136. degreasing metal parts and in manufacturing other chemicals.

- As used herein, PERC shall include its other names tetrachloroethylene, 137. tetrachloroethene, and PCE - and any of its breakdown products.
- 138. In humans, PERC is known to adversely affect the central nervous system, the liver, kidneys, blood, immune system, and the reproductive system.
- 139. Epidemiological studies link PERC exposure in the workplace with several types of cancer, specifically bladder cancer, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, and multiple myeloma.
- 140. The EPA has determined that PERC is likely to be carcinogenic to humans by all routes of exposure.
- 141. As used herein, 1,1,1-Trichloroethane (TCA) shall include all of its trade names, including but not limited to methyl chloroform, methyl trichloromethane, trichloromethyl methane, and trichloromethane.
- 142. 1,1,1-Trichloroethane was often used as a solvent to dissolve other substances, such as glues and paints, and to remove oil or grease from manufactured parts. Since 2002, its use has been restricted.
- 143. Until the 1990s, 1,1,1 - Trichloroethane formulations usually included 1,4-Dioxane, an emerging contaminant and probable human carcinogen, which was used as a stabilizer/metal inhibitor.
- 144. 1,1,1-Trichloroethane in groundwater can evaporate and pass through soil as a gas and, finally, be released to the air.
- 145. Effects reported in humans due to acute (short-term) inhalation exposure to 1,1,1trichloroethane include hypotension, mild hepatic effects, and central nervous system (CNS)

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

depression. Cardiac arrhythmia and respiratory arrest may result from the depression of the

CNS. Symptoms of acute inhalation exposure include dizziness, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, loss

of consciousness, and decreased blood pressure in humans. After chronic (long-term) inhalation

exposure to methyl chloroform, some liver damage was observed in mice and ventricular

arrhythmias in humans.

146. As used herein, **2-Hexanone** shall include all of its trade names, including but not

limited to methyl butyl ketone and MBK.

2-Hexanone is a clear colorless liquid that, when in liquid form, evaporates into the 147.

air as vapor.

148. 2-Hexanone was formerly used in paint and paint thinner and in various chemical

substances. However, since it was found to have harmful health effects, it is no longer made in the

United States and its uses have been restricted.

Humans can take in 2-Hexanone when breathing its vapors, eating food or drinking 149.

water that contains it, or coming into contact with it through the skin.

150. The most important health concern for humans from exposure to 2-hexanone is its

harmful effects on the nervous system. These effects were seen in workers who were exposed to

2-hexanone for almost a year. The major effects were weakness, numbness, and tingling in the

skin of the hands and feet. Similar effects were seen in animals that ate or breathed high levels of

2-hexanone; these effects included weakness, clumsiness, and paralysis. The neurotoxic potency

of 2-hexanone is increased when combined with 2-butanone (see above).

As used herein, carbon tetrachloride shall include all of its trade names, including 151.

but not limited to carbon chloride, methane tetrachloride, perchloromethane, tetrachloroethane, or

benziform.

- 21 -

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

152. Carbon tetrachloride is a manufactured chemical that does not occur naturally.

Carbon tetrachloride was used as a cleaning fluid and degreasing agent, in fire extinguishers, and

in spot removers. Because of its harmful effects, these uses are now banned, and it is only used in

some industrial applications.

153. Carbon tetrachloride can be trapped in groundwater for long periods of time and

will eventually evaporate into the air. Carbon tetrachloride does not generally stick to soil particles.

Carbon tetrachloride is known to adversely affect the cardiovascular, hepatic, and 154.

nervous systems in humans. After exposure to high levels of carbon tetrachloride, the nervous

system, including the brain, is affected. Such exposure can be fatal.

Chromium is used mainly in metal alloys as chrome plating. It can be polished to 155.

a mirror-like finish, and provides a durable, highly rust resistant coating. A common form of

chromium used by industry in dyes, paints, primers and other surface coatings is hexavalent

chromium (chromium VI).

156. **Hexavalent chromium** enters the environment through industrial applications such

as electro painting and chemical manufacturing. Groundwater contamination may occur due to

improper disposal of industrial manufacturing equipment. It is also released in the form of air

emissions from industrial stacks.

Humans can be exposed to hexavalent chromium through contaminated soil, water 157.

and air. Even small amounts of this substance are highly toxic.

158. Hexavalent chromium is a known human carcinogen. Inhalation of hexavalent

chromium compounds is associated with a higher risk of lung cancer, cancer of the head and neck,

and asthma.

- 22 -

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

159. Other health impacts of exposure to hexavalent chromium include damage to the skin, liver, kidneys, cardiovascular, reproductive, and gastrointestinal systems.

Benzene is a petroleum product and a known human carcinogen that adversely 160. affects the hematological, immune and nervous systems. Breathing benzene can cause drowsiness, dizziness, and unconsciousness; long-term exposure harms the bone marrow and can cause anemia and leukemia. Related aromatic hydrocarbons, including toluene, xylene, and ethylbenzene are used in synthetic materials, fuels, and solvents and are also hazardous to human health.

- **Dioxin/furans** are some of the most toxic chemicals known to science. They may be created in certain industrial processes and are also formed as a by-product of waste incineration. These chemicals can be distributed through the air and are highly toxic. In addition to cancer, dioxin exposure has been linked to birth defects, reproductive problems, immune, respiratory and skin disorders and hormonal malfunction.
- Radium is a radioactive metal that has many isotopes, including the hazardous 162. radioactive isotopes radium 226 and radium-228 (collectively "radium").
- 163. The EPA and the DEC have established a maximum contaminant level for radium-226 and radium-228 (combined) in drinking water of 5.0 picocuries per liter (pCi/L).
  - 164. Radium is a hazardous substance and a known human carcinogen.
- 165. Exposure to radium can result in an increased incidence of bone cancer, liver cancer, breast cancer, lymphoma, and hematopoietic diseases such as leukemia and aplastic anemia.
  - Radium-226 decays to a radioactive gas, radon-222 ("radon"). 166.
- Radon is a known human carcinogen and is a leading cause of lung cancer in the 167. United States.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 612842/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

168. Radon can be dissolved in groundwater and travel through the soil. It can enter the air inside a home through cracks in the foundation and through aeration of water during its use in washing machines, showers, and cooking.

- **1,4-Dioxane** is a synthetic industrial chemical that is completely miscible in water 169. that has been used in many products, including paint strippers, dyes, greases, varnishes and waxes. 1,4-Dioxane is also found as an impurity in antifreeze and aircraft deicing fluids.
- 170. 1,4-Dioxane is used as a stabilizer for chlorinated solvents such as TCA and for other industrial and laboratory uses.
- 171. 1,4-Dioxane is classified by the EPA as likely to be carcinogenic to humans by all routes of exposure. Short-term exposure may cause eye, nose and throat irritation and long-term exposure may cause kidney and liver damage.
- 172. 1,4-Dioxane is short-lived in the atmosphere, but may leach readily from soil to groundwater, migrates rapidly in groundwater, and is relatively resistant to biodegradation in the subsurface.
- Perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances ("PFAS") are chemical 173. compounds containing fluorine and carbon. They are manmade chemicals that are not naturally found in the environment.
- PFAS are used to repel oil and water. These substances have been used for decades 174. in a variety of industrial operations, including metal plating and firefighting foams.
- 175. The two most widely studied types of PFAS are perfluorooctanoic acid ("PFOA") and perfluorooctanesulfonate ("PFOS"), which each contain eight carbon atoms.
  - PFOA and PFOS have unique properties that cause them to be: 176.
    - (i) mobile and persistent, meaning that they readily spread into the environment where they break down very slowly;

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

bioaccumulative and biomagnifying, meaning that they tend to (ii) accumulate in organisms and up the food chain; and

(iii) toxic, meaning that they pose serious health risks to humans and animals.

177. PFOA and PFOS easily dissolve in water, and thus they are mobile and easily

spread in the environment. PFOA and PFOS also readily contaminate soils and leach from the soil

into groundwater, where they can travel significant distances.

178. PFOA and PFOS bioaccumulate/biomagnify in numerous ways. First, they are

relatively stable once ingested, so that they bioaccumulate in individual organisms for significant

periods of time. Because of this stability, any newly ingested PFOA and PFOS will be added to

any PFOA and PFOS already present. In humans, PFOA and PFOS remain in the body for years.

179. Human health effects associated with PFOS exposure include immune system

effects, changes in liver enzymes and thyroid hormones, low birthweight, high uric acid, and high

cholesterol. In laboratory testing on animals, PFOA and PFOS have caused the growth of tumors,

changed hormone levels, and affected the function of the liver, thyroid, pancreas, and immune

system.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

180. The injuries caused by PFAS can arise months or years after exposure.

181. Upon information and belief, the Contaminants and their derivatives, in amounts

and concentrations above New York State and federal residential and industrial safety levels were

and continue to be discharged from the Site, and from the resulting contaminant plumes, polluting

the air, soil, and water in the surrounding communities and causing harm to the Plaintiffs.

182. Upon information and belief, each of the Contaminants is individually toxic and

hazardous to human health and the combined effects of exposure to multiple Contaminants cause

additional, synergistic adverse impacts.

- 25 -

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

183. The presence of certain radioactive and emerging Contaminants became publicly

known only recently, as the capability and/or requirements for testing have changed and as specific

locations were sampled.

With respect to all of the Contaminants, as well as any new hazardous substances 184.

that may be identified as coming from the Site, the Plaintiffs reserve their right to allege additional

exposure pathways, contaminant levels, injuries and damages as further information is obtained

through discovery, additional environmental testing, medical research, disclosure of government

records, and any future updates of public health standards and health advisories.

Defendants' Ownership and Use of the Site

Defendants and/or their predecessors owned and/or operated the Site for several 185.

decades. On information and belief, Grumman's operations at the Bethpage Facility began in 1937

and its use of the NWIRP site began in 1942. Manufacturing operations at the Site continued until

1996.

186. The uses at the Site included the manufacture and testing of naval aircraft, naval

amphibious craft, and satellites for the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

Throughout its operations at the Site, Grumman used, released, stored and disposed 187.

of various hazardous wastes and solvents from industrial processes directly into the environment.

These wastes included VOCs, SVOCs, metals, radioactive materials and other Contaminants.

188. Grumman knew that the Site is located in close proximity to residential areas. It

knew or should have known that the Contaminants it discharged would migrate into the

surrounding environment, contaminating the air, soil and groundwater in the neighborhood of the

Site.

- 26 -

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

**Toxic Air Emissions** 

189. As part of its decades-long operations at the Site, Grumman released toxic

substances, including but not limited to, TCE and hexavalent chromium, into the air, through its

stacks.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

190. These toxic chemicals and other hazardous substances were also released directly into

the surrounding environment in the form of fugitive emissions from the manufacturing plants.

191. Grumman did not take adequate pollution control measures to prevent and mitigate

these harmful air emissions.

192. Grumman knew, or should have known, the toxic nature of these chemicals and

hazardous substances before releasing them into the surrounding community.

193. As a direct result of Grumman's actions and omissions, which created severe air

pollution in the community, many Bethpage area residents living in the vicinity of the Site, including

each and every Plaintiff, have been to exposed to toxic substances, causing and/or contributing to

their injuries.

Hazardous Chemical Discharges to Soil and Groundwater

194. Upon information and belief, Grumman had several plants on the Site, where it

used TCE as part of the degreasing operations.

Upon information and belief, starting in or about 1970, Grumman used a 4,000-gallon 195.

aboveground tank at Plant #2 to store TCE. At some point, Grumman discovered that the tank was

leaking and had it replaced. It is unknown how long TCE had been leaking into the ground before the

leak was discovered, but Grumman was aware of an unexplained "loss" of TCE for an estimated two

or three years prior to discovery of the leak.

- 27 -

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

196. Upon information and belief, after an uncontrolled quantity of TCE was discharged into the soil, Grumman finally replaced Plant #2's leaking tank and discovered that the bottom of

the tank was already rotten.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

Spray wands containing TCE were used to degrease large parts, such as wings of 197.

planes. TCE was also used to clean the paint guns used in painting airplanes.

198. On information and belief, the wastewater generated from the above operations,

would be pumped to a tank truck and taken to Grumman's on-site waste treatment plant at Plant

#2, known as the Industrial Wastewater Treatment Facility.

199. The wastewater treated at the Plant #2 Industrial Wastewater Treatment Facility

also came from metal finishing operations conducted at both Plant #2 and Plant #3 at the NWIRP.

200. As described below, the above wastewater, containing many toxic chemicals, was

dumped in sludge drying beds in another area of the property, which later became the Bethpage

Community Park.

Improper disposal of Contaminants in this area became a major source of groundwater 201.

contamination at and emanating from the Site.

202. Grumman dug "recharge basins" directly into the ground throughout the Bethpage

Facility, which it used, at least in part, to dispose of wastewater. Upon information and belief, there

were at least a dozen recharge basins across the Bethpage Facility at various points in its

operational history.

203. These structures were designed to allow the wastewater to infiltrate back into the

ground and return to the groundwater supply relied upon by Plaintiffs. This wastewater

contaminated the groundwater at the Site and migrated downgradient, causing the ongoing

contaminant plume(s) and soil and vapor contamination in the area.

- 28 -

NYSCEF DOC. NO.

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

When the discharge basins became clogged and water could no longer percolate 204.

into the ground, Grumman would operate bulldozers to scrape the basins. The contaminated and

noxious scrapings obtained from these discharge basins were then used to fill in other low-lying

areas on the premises of the Bethpage Facility. Upon information and belief, these areas were

unlined and also became source areas of contamination.

205. Grumman also had a practice of spraying waste oil on dirt roads at the Site in order to

control dust. Later, petroleum-related substances were found among the Contaminants at and

emanating from the Site.

206. The former NWIRP includes approximately 105 acres of the Site and a separate 4.5-

acre parcel to the north of that parcel. The NWIRP was purchased by the United States Navy in 1947

and leased to Grumman for research, testing, design, engineering and manufacturing purposes.

Grumman operated this portion of the Site from 1942 until it closed in 1998. In 2002, the Navy

transferred the 4.5-acre parcel to Nassau County. In 2008, the Navy transferred 96 acres of the 105-

acre main parcel to Nassau County and is leasing the remaining 9 acres to Nassau County.

207. At their peak, Grumman's operations at the former NWIRP included four plants used

for assembly and prototype testing, a group of quality control laboratories, two warehouse complexes,

a salvage storage area, water recharge basins, an Industrial Wastewater Treatment Facility, and

several small support buildings.

208. Starting from the early 1950s, Grumman accumulated and stored drums containing

liquid waste materials from operations at Plant 3 and potentially other sources at the former NWIRP

in a portion of the Site known as the Drum Marshalling Area. Approximately 200 to 300 drums were

stored at any one time.

- 29 -

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

209. The waste drums reportedly contained chlorinated and non-chlorinated solvents,

liquid cadmium, cyanide and chromium wastes. During the early 1990's, transformers containing

PCBs and autoclaves were also stored at the Drum Marshalling Area.

210. Until 1982, the drum storage area did not have a cover or spill containment.

211. The soil contaminants found at the Drum Marshalling Area include polychlorinated

biphenyls (PCBs), chlordane, polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and metals.

212. Subsequent testing determined that the Drum Marshalling Area was a source of

groundwater contamination at and emanating from the Site.

213. 120 cesspools located in the vicinity of Plant 3, which were part of the stormwater

management system at the Site, were found to contain PCBs. PCB-containing fluids are suspected

to have entered the system through floor drains in Plant 3 and impacted the underlying soil by passing

through permeable well bottoms. These discharges were yet another source of contamination at and

emanating from the Site.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

**Bethpage Community Park and Former Grumman Settling Ponds** 

214. The land that comprises the current Bethpage Community Park was originally

primarily farmland and was purchased by Grumman in 1941.

215. From approximately 1943 through 1962, Grumman utilized a portion of this area,

known as the Former Grumman Settling Ponds, for disposal of wastewater and other wastes from

its industrial operations the Site. Among the various wastes were chromium, PCBs and VOCs

used for cleaning or degreasing machinery or fabricated parts.

The toxic sludge generated at the Industrial Wastewater Treatment Facility was 216.

transported to the Former Grumman Settling Ponds and placed in one of two sludge drying beds.

- 30 -

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

217. Spent rags generated during the wipe-down process of a paint booth water curtain located at Plant #2 were also transported to a nearby area, where they were emptied into a pit ("rag pit"). Used oil may have also been discarded in the rag pit.

- 218. This area was also utilized as a wastewater discharge recharge area and fire training facility where waste oil and jet fuel were ignited and extinguished.
- 219. Defendants' operations in this area resulted in the release of Contaminants into the surrounding soil and groundwater, creating a deep, toxic plume.
- In October 1962, Grumman donated this land, comprising approximately 18 acres 220. near the intersection of Stewart Avenue and Cherry Avenue in Bethpage, to the Town of Oyster Bay, for use as parkland. Shortly thereafter, Bethpage Community Park, as it appears today, was constructed on the property, and is accessible to community residents year-round.
- 221. The Park contains a ball field area, an active storm water recharge basin, a parking area, the Town Ice Skating Rink, and the Town Pool.
- Within the Park, the ball field area was built over the location of the Former 222. Grumman Settling Ponds.
- NGSC remains the current owner of the Grumman Access Road, a closed private 223. road in the vicinity of the park associated with the former Plant.
- 224. Bethpage High School is located directly to the east of the park, across Stewart Avenue, and residential properties are located south of the park across from the Access Road.
- 225. In March of 2002, Grumman conducted soil sampling in the park. The analytical results indicated levels of PCBs and metals exceeding the DEC's Soil Cleanup Objectives. The Park was temporarily closed but was reopened later that year. The ballfield remains closed to this day.
  - 226. In July of 2005, Northrop Grumman Systems signed a Remedial Investigation and

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

Feasibility Study Order on Consent for the contaminated area encompassing the Former Grumman Settling Ponds, Adjacent Areas of the Bethpage Community Park, and the Grumman Access Road, collectively known as OU-3.

- Between 2006 and 2007, the Town of Oyster Bay excavated and disposed of 227. approximately 175,000 cubic yards of contaminated soil from a 7-acre area of the park as part of the construction of a new ice rink.
- 228. Subsequently, in 2008 a Remedial Investigation Report for OU-3 identified 16 contaminants of concern, including the Contaminants. The Report concluded that the southwest portion of the park is a continuing source of groundwater contamination.
- 229. The ballfield at the park continues to be closed due to heavy contamination. Actual remediation of that site by Grumman began only in 2020 and is ongoing.
- 230. After extracting some of the volatile organic chemicals from the soil using a thermal remediation system, Grumman will begin to excavate the soil to remove PCBs and metals.
- 231. In 2019, a new area of contaminated soil, just outside the ballfield and in the parking lot, was discovered during drilling activities conducted by Grumman. Sampling confirmed the presence of VOCs, at levels exceeding state standards, in an area about two to eight feet thick between 36 to 50 feet beneath the ground surface. Additional remediation has commenced for this area.
- 232. Area residents, including many of the Plaintiffs, were exposed to Defendants' Contaminants in the air and soil while visiting and using the Park for recreation.

#### <u>OU-1, OU-2, OU-3, OU-4</u>

233. In 1983, the Grumman and NWIRP sites, excluding the Park, were listed in the Registry of Inactive Hazardous Waste Disposal Sites in New York State.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

In December 1987, the Bethpage Facility was reclassified as a "Class 2 Site," or a site 234. posing a "[s]ignificant threat to the public health or environment" and requiring remedial action.

- In March 1993, the Grumman site and the NWIRP site were separated and listed as 235. independent class 2 Superfund sites, designated as Site 130003-A and Site 130003-B, respectively.
- 236. In order to address the on-site and off-site contamination, the DEC divided it into three Operable Units ("OU").
  - 237. OU-1 encompasses the former manufacturing plant areas on site.
- 238. In 1995, the DEC issued Records of Decision ("ROD") for the Northrop Grumman and NWIRP Sites concerning on-site soil contamination in OU-1.
- 239. OU-2 consists of an extremely large groundwater contamination plume that is continuously moving south-southeast. The source area of the OU-2 plume originates from both the NWIRP and Northrop Grumman properties, with VOCs present at different concentrations and different depths. OU-2 includes a network of wells which are used to monitor this plume.
- 240. In March of 2001, the NYSDEC issued a ROD for OU-2. This ROD provided for wellhead treatment at impacted public water supply wells, boundary monitoring of the plume, and the contingency for additional wellhead treatment should other wells be affected. The ROD also included on-site extraction wells and treatment systems, which are currently in operation.
- 241. Among other things, the 1995 and 2001 RODs found that groundwater plumes in and around the Site contained TCE and other chlorinated VOCs.
- 242. In May 2015, the DEC entered into a Consent Order with Northrop Grumman to remediate "hot spots" identified in the larger OU-2 groundwater plume.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

OU-3 encompasses the source area of the Former Grumman Settling Ponds, adjacent 243.

areas of the park, and the Grumman Access Road. It has also been used to refer to the off-site plume

of contaminants flowing from these areas.

244. The groundwater flow direction is primarily horizontal with a downward component

to the south-southeast. OU-3 leaves the Site as a distinct plume, but then becomes comingled with

the larger OU-2 plume.

NYSCEF DOC. NO.

245. Generally, the OU-3 plume is deeper and more concentrated than the larger OU-2

plume. It has impacted both the Upper Glacial and Magothy aquifers.

246. In March 2013, the DEC issued a ROD for the remediation of OU-3. With respect to

the off-site groundwater plume, the ROD required the immediate treatment of the area of elevated

concentrations, or "hotspot" area of the plume that had been identified approaching Bethpage Water

District Plant 4. The ROD also called for additional monitoring wells to allow for the delineation of

the leading edge of the OU-3 plume.

OU-4 was established to by the U.S. Navy to specifically address contaminated oil, 247.

soil vapor and groundwater at the former Drum Marshaling Area designated at Site 1.

248. A ROD issued for this area in August 2018, calls for limited excavation and disposal

of PCB-contaminated soil, the installation of a reduced permeability cover, and land use controls to

protect the cover and limit future activities.

To implement this plan, the Navy has removed approximately 45,000 cubic yards of 249.

contaminated soil, as well as concrete debris from the former cesspools and settling tanks. It has yet

to install an expanded soil vapor extractions system and new groundwater monitoring wells.

All of the above efforts were limited to discrete source areas and were not intended to 250.

or sufficient to remediate the full extent of the contaminant plumes.

- 34 -

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

2019 Cleanup Plan - Full Hydraulic Containment of the Plume

251. Realizing the continuing and expanding threats to groundwater resources and local

residents, in February 2017, Governor Cuomo directed the DEC to undertake an immediate

engineering investigation to expedite containment of the plume.

252. In May of 2019, after over two years of engineering and groundwater modeling

investigations in partnership with the US Geological Survey, the DEC released a Proposed Amended

Record of Decision ("ROD") regarding off-site groundwater. At the end of the public comment

period, the Amended ROD was issued in its final form in December 2019.<sup>1</sup>

253. The Amended ROD (at p. 13) specifically identifies 26 "contaminants of concern" in

the contaminated groundwater plume originating at the Site.

254. The DEC estimates that the resulting toxic plume currently extends approximately 4.3

miles south toward the Southern State Parkway and, at its widest point, is about 2.1 miles wide. The

depth of the plume varies from 200 to 900 feet below ground.

255. The Amended ROD sets forth a 110 (one hundred and ten) year, \$585,000,000 (Five

Hundred Eighty-Five Million Dollars) plan to stop the spread of and, eventually, clean up the plume.

256. The work plan involves installing 24 groundwater extraction wells - eight of them

located in the interior of the plume and 16 along the margins, five treatment plants, four recharge

basins and approximately 24 miles of conveyance piping all around the affected region of the plume

installed to bring that water from the wells to five nearby treatment plants.

257. Defendant Grumman initially rejected the proposed remedy as unnecessary and not

cost-effective. The other responsible party, the Navy, also filed comments, rejecting the plan.

<sup>1</sup> Access to the AROD and other project documents is available online through the DEC info Locator:

https://www.dec.ny.gov/data/DecDocs/130003A/ or https://www.dec.ny.gov/data/DecDocs/130003B/

DOC. NO.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

However, after a year of negotiations, Grumman agreed to implement a modified

version of the AROD. As part of that agreement, Grumman will pay a \$104 million settlement for

environmental damages.

258.

259. Based on a Proposed Consent Decree between Defendant Northrop Grumman

Systems Corporation and the NYSDEC, made public on or about September 22, 2021,<sup>2</sup> Grumman

has also agreed to pay the State of New York \$4,000,000 (four million dollars), of which \$3,600,00

would go to cover the State's investigation costs and \$400,0000 shall be for the costs of the assessment

of natural resources damages.

260. As of the date of this filing, the Consent Decree has yet to be finalized and approved

by the District Court for the Eastern District of New York.

261. No portion of the DEC's cleanup plan or the above proposed payments will go to

compensating area residents, including Plaintiffs, for the personal injuries, increased risk of illness

due to toxic exposure, or property damages which they have incurred as a result of Defendants'

actions.

262. While the initial construction and maintenance operations costs are to be spread over

30 years, the full cleanup will take many more decades.

263. Based on the above plan and timeframes, it is evident that Plaintiffs who still reside in

or around the Bethpage area have and will continue to reside in areas impacted by the contamination

for the foreseeable future.

<sup>2</sup> https://www.dec.ny.gov/docs/remediation hudson pdf/grummanpropcd.pdf

- 36 -

INDEX NO. 612842/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

**Impacts on Drinking Water** 

A. **Chlorinated Solvents - Generally** 

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

264. The 2019 Amended ROD states that 11 public water supply wells have been impacted

and 16 public water supply wells are threatened by the Grumman groundwater plume.

265. The above document reiterates and confirms that Defendants' past disposal practice

has contaminated both on-site and off-site groundwater with chlorinated solvents.

266. In 2016, the Town of Hempstead and the Bethpage Water District sued the Defendants

and others over contamination of their public water supply wells in Levittown and Bethpage.

267. On information and belief, the Bethpage Water District action was dismissed on

statute of limitations grounds, while the Town of Hempstead's action is still pending.

268. In May 2018, the Bethpage Water District announced that it will be shutting down

five of its public water supply wells due to the contamination caused by Defendants.

A Health Consultation report issued in May 2019 by the New York State Health 269.

Department ("Health Consultation")<sup>3</sup> concludes that past use of drinking water contaminated with

TCE from the Site, at all times prior to the removal from service of Bethpage Water District Well 6-

1 in 1976, could have harmed people's health.

270. The Amended ROD notes that, in 1976, TCE was detected in Well 6-1 at

concentrations of 28, 26 and 60 ppb, which is many times the safe limit. Bethpage Water District

Well 6-2 was also taken out of service in 1988, after a detection of TCE at 5 parts per billion ("ppb").

Both wells were returned to service after treatment systems were installed.

<sup>3</sup> The NYSDOH Health Consultation report is available at:

https://www.health.ny.gov/environmental/investigations/northrop\_grumman/

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

271. Bethpage Water District's Plant 4 and Plant 5 wells were also impacted by TCE,

though at lower levels. Treatment systems for these wells were installed in 1995. (See Amended

ROD, p. 17.)

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

272. The Health Consultation acknowledged impacts of Site-related hazardous substances

on other wells used by the Bethpage Water District, but concluded that they were either taken out of

service or equipped with treatment systems.

The Health Consultation did not address the actual cancer or other disease incidence 273.

in the population of the affected area, the cumulative impacts of multi-year exposure to low levels of

toxins, such as TCE, prior to the installation of treatment systems, the presence of 1,4-dioxane and

radium in the water, discussed below, or any exposure pathways other than drinking water in the

Bethpage Water District.

Among the other public water suppliers whose wells have been impacted by

Grumman's site-related contaminants are the South Farmingdale Water District and Seamans Neck

Road Water Plant operated by the New York American Water Company. These wells had treatment

systems installed in 2011-2013 and 2012-2015, respectively. See Amended ROD, pp. 17-18.

275. On May 24, 2022, the District Court for the Eastern District of New York approved a

Consent Judgment whereby Northrop Grumman and the U.S. Navy will pay the Bethpage Water

District a total of \$49 million for the capital costs and operations and maintenance costs of wellhead

treatment systems at Bethpage Plants 5 and 6, Grumman's use of existing Plant 4 for its ongoing

remediation efforts, and the Water District's development of replacement drinking water wells.<sup>4</sup>

The "covered substances" under the Consent Judgment include 1,4-dioxane, which is 276.

found in Site-related groundwater and for which additional treatment is required, as discussed below.

<sup>4</sup> See Bethpage Water District v. U.S., et al., C.A. No. 2:22-cv-02050-NG-RLM,

United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York, Doc. 1-1, 10.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

277. The South Farmingdale District has reached its own settlement with the U.S. Navy,

but not with Northrop Grumman, which has also been approved by the court<sup>5</sup>.

278. Among the contaminants covered by this agreement are 1,4-dioxane and per- and

polyfluoroalkyl substances ("PFAS"), which are found in Site-related groundwater, as discussed

below.

279. As with the proposed Consent Decree with the State of New York, these agreements

with two of the impacted water districts do not include any compensation for area residents.

Defendants are liable for Plaintiffs' exposure to contaminated drinking water from 280.

any and all impacted public supply wells.

В. **Radium and Radon Detections** 

> 281. On information and belief, Grumman's operations at the Site included quality control

and research projects including radionuclides and the use of radium-based paint on luminous dials on

aircraft instruments and spacecraft components.

282. On information and belief, in January 2013, the Bethpage Water District detected

radium at 5.87 pCi/L (pico Curies per liter) in its drinking water Well 4-1, which is located southeast

of the Site.

283. This reading is a violation of federal and New York State's maximum contaminant

level (MCL) for drinking water, which is 5 pCi/L, for the combined radium isotopes 226 and 228.

284. As a result, well 4-1 had to be taken out of service.

285. Upon information and belief, Plaintiffs who were or are customers of the Bethpage

Water District have been exposed to elevated levels of radium in their drinking water, at least before

the decommissioning of Well 4-1 in 2013.

<sup>5</sup> See South Farmingdale Water District v. U.S., et al., C.A. No. 2:22-cv-02051-NG-RLM,

United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York, Doc. 1-1, 11.

- 39 -

NYSCEF DOC. NO.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

286. Subsequently, testing of monitoring wells at the Bethpage High School and the

Central Boulevard Elementary School, conducted in 2017, revealed elevated levels of radium. On

information and belief, radium was found at two to five times the maximum contaminant levels.

287. Both schools are located within the area of the contaminant plumes emanating from

the Site, with the high school being across the street from the park and the elementary school about a

mile to the south of it.

288. In 2017, the Bethpage School District conducted testing for radon gas. Although the

results from the elementary school were not released, on information and belief, levels of radon found

at the high school and middle school were at close to 4.0 pCi/L, a ceiling above which corrective

action is recommended by the Environmental Protection Agency.

289. On information and belief, the School District installed a soil vapor barrier at the

elementary school to minimize radon exposure.

290. Additional groundwater sampling conducted at the Site by the US Navy in 2018 and

2019 found radium levels above federal and state standards in sixteen discreet samples from eight

different wells, with the highest being 9.5 pCi/L.

Although the Navy has assured residents that the findings are within the range of 291.

"background levels," its data has been questioned, because its consultant, Tetra Tech, was caught

falsifying environmental testing data at another Superfund Site in 2017, and is now being sued by the

U.S. Justice Department. As a result, U.S. Senator, Chuck Schumer, has demanded that the testing

be repeated using another consultant.

A Preliminary Assessment/Site Investigation report analyzing the Navy's findings has 292.

yet to be submitted to the DEC.

- 40 -

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

293. None of Defendants' cleanup efforts to date address the possible presence of radium

in the groundwater and drinking water or of radon in the soil vapor in areas impacted by the

contaminant plume.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

294. The December 2019 Amended ROD does not include a plan to address radium-226,

As of this writing, there is no routine testing by Defendants or any radium-228, and radon.

government agency for radioactive contaminants in the groundwater emanating from the Site.

295. To the extent it is confirmed that the elevated levels of radioactive substances in the

soil vapor and groundwater in and around Bethpage are due to Defendants' activities, Defendants are

liable for any personal injuries and/or increased health risks caused to Plaintiffs as a result of their

past and/or current exposure to these toxic substances.

C. 1,4 – Dioxane Contamination

Upon information and belief, 1,4-Dioxane was used by Grumman in its industrial 296.

operations at the Site and has been detected in the contaminant plumes.

1,4 – Dioxane is one of the "contaminants of concern" identified in the State's 2019 297.

Amended ROD.

New York State has adopted an MCL of 1.0 ug/L (parts per billion) for 1,4-Dioxane 298.

in drinking water.

299. This standard, which is mandatory for all public water suppliers, went into effect in

August 2020.

300. Sampling conducted by the Navy in 2018 and 2019 confirms that the levels of 1,4-

Dioxane in groundwater at the Site are many times higher than the MCL.

301. This toxic chemical has migrated off-site and contaminated the drinking water supply.

- 41 -

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 612842/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

302. According to available data from the Bethpage Water District, 1,4-Dioxane is present

at levels many times 1.0 ppb in the public water supply wells used by the Plaintiffs.

- 303. It was only in 2020 that the Bethpage Water District began installing Advanced Oxidation Process technology in some of its plants in order to remove this toxic chemical.
- 304. Upon information and belief, Plaintiffs who were or are customers of the Bethpage Water District have been exposed to harmful levels of 1,4-Dioxane in their drinking water as a result of Defendants' actions.
- 305. Other nearby water districts within the range of the Grumman contaminant plume have also detected 1,4-Dioxane in their water supply at levels above what is now deemed safe.
- 306. Upon information and belief, Plaintiffs who were or are customers of these water districts have been exposed to harmful levels of 1,4-Dioxane in their drinking water as a result of Defendants' actions.

## D. **PFAS Contamination**

- Upon information and belief, Defendants' operations at the Site, have also 307. contaminated the groundwater and drinking water supplies of the Plaintiffs with toxic perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS).
- 308. On or around May 19, 2016, the EPA issued updated Drinking Water Health Advisories, recommending that drinking water concentrations for PFOA and PFOS, either singly or combined, should not exceed 70 ppt (parts per trillion).<sup>6</sup>
- 309. In June 2018, the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry ("ATSDR") and EPA released a draft toxicological profile for PFOS and PFOA and recommended the drinking water advisory levels be lowered to 11 ppt for PFOA and 7 ppt for PFOS.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Lifetime Health Advisories and Health Effects Support Documents for PFOA and PFOS, 81 Fed. Reg. 33, 250-51 (May 25, 2016).

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

Effective April 25, 2016, the NYSDEC added PFOS and PFOA to the New York State 310. 6 NYCRR Part 597 list of hazardous substances, making it a hazardous waste pursuant to New York State Environmental Conservation Law Article 27, Title 13 and 6 NYCRR Part 375.

- 311. New York State has adopted an MCL of 10.0 ppt or 0.0000100 milligrams per liter (mg/L) for PFOA and 10.0 ppt or 0.0000100 mg/L for PFOS in drinking water.
- 312. This standard, which is mandatory for all public water suppliers, went into effect in August 2020.
- In 2018 and 2019, as part of its Preliminary Assessment/Site Inspection for Per- and 313. Polyfluoroalkyl Substances, the U.S. Navy conducted several rounds of testing at wells, recharge basin and treatment systems in and around the NWRIP portion of the Site.
- 314. Five of the monitoring wells showed levels of PFAS that were not only many times New York's MCL, but even exceeded the EPA's current Health Advisory limit of 70 ppt. The highest PFAS concentration was 239 ppt.
- 315. PFAS was also detected at levels well above the MCLs at the NWRIP Recharge Basins and the Interim Remedial Measures treatment system at the Site.
- 316. In its November 2019 Fact Sheet summarizing the above results, the Navy specifically noted that plating and anodizing operations were conducted by Grumman at Plant 3 and Plant 5 and likely used PFAS compounds in a mist-suppression system.
- 317. Fact Sheet explains that the entire NWRIP portion of the Site was used by Defendants for research, testing, design engineering, fabrication, and primary assembly of military aircraft, from 1942 to 1996.
  - 318. In addition, Grumman operated a fire training area on site.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

319. These and other operations elsewhere at the Site involving aircraft, weapons, and

satellite manufacture and testing likely involved the storage, use and discharge of PFAS, including

through the use of AFFF firefighting foam containing PFAS.

320. Sampling of monitoring wells by Arcadis, Grumman's environmental consultant,

reveals highly elevated PFAS levels in the groundwater.

321. The Hempstead Water District, the Bethpage Water District, and the South

Farmingdale Water District, all of which have been impacted by Site-related groundwater

contamination, have had recent detections of PFAS at various levels, including above the MCL.

322. None of the cleanup plans issued or approved by the State address the PFAS

contamination in the groundwater at and coming from the Site. In its Responsiveness Summary for

the Amended ROD, issued in December 2019, the DEC states only: "As needed, the Public Water

Supply Contingency Plan will be updated to reflect emerging contaminants and other currently

unknown contaminants that may be associated with the NWIRP and Northrop Grumman Bethpage

Facility sites."

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

In the meantime, on information and belief, Plaintiffs have been and continue to be 323.

exposed to toxic levels of PFAS in their drinking water supplies, which are contaminated as a result

of Defendants' operations at the Site.

The Defendants Have Not Remediated the Contamination

The remediation plans, if any, set forth by Defendants were and still remain inadequate 324.

and insufficient given the breadth of the contamination, as well as the present and historical migration

of the harmful contaminants. Defendants have repeatedly failed to exercise the reasonable care

necessary to eliminate, correct, and/or remedy the dangerous condition created by them and/or located

upon their land.

- 44 -

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

Further, Defendants have intentionally delayed and repeatedly chosen meaningless 325.

courses of action, patently inadequate and unreasonable given the likely injuries to Plaintiffs.

Defendants also challenged and delayed implementing the work required by the 2019 326.

Amended ROD, which finally proposes a comprehensive cleanup and containment of the

contaminated groundwater plume emanating from the Site, a cleanup that is estimated to take one

hundred and ten (110) years.

327. It took an entire year to get an agreement in principal that Defendants will conduct

some of the remedial actions proposed in the AROD. None of them include testing of residential

properties or compensation for the toxic exposure and injuries suffered by former and current

residents, including Plaintiffs.

328. The actions chosen and taken by Defendants were with knowledge that delay and

minimal action would cause the toxic contaminants to disperse and migrate from the Site and into the

surrounding residential neighborhood, impacting Plaintiffs and Class members and their property.

These actions have been undertaken with actual malice and in wanton and willful and/or reckless

disregard for Plaintiffs' health and property.

329. As a result of Defendants' negligent, willful, and/or wanton storage, disposal, and

release of the Contaminants into the soil and/or groundwater, and/or the subsequent and continuing

failure to remediate or take reasonable action to mitigate the release and migration of said

substances, the plumes of contaminants, at concentration levels in excess of federal and/or state

regulatory limits, have and continue to migrate throughout the areas downgradient of the Site and

onto the properties of Plaintiffs. These toxic chemicals have entered the water, soil, indoor and

outdoor air, causing Plaintiffs' injuries.

- 45 -

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

In addition, the damage to Plaintiffs includes exposure to toxic air emissions, unsafe 330.

drinking water, soil vapor intrusion, and other pathways of exposure through soil, air and

groundwater.

331. The presence of the Contaminants on and off Site in Plaintiffs' neighborhood and on

and under their places of residence, school, work and recreation sites has resulted in permanent and

continuing harm to Plaintiffs' persons. Plaintiffs have been and continue to be exposed to the

Contaminants by inhalation, ingestion, and dermal exposure.

The numerous egregious actions and incidents occurring at and near the Site by 332.

Defendants constitute an intentional and/or negligent breach of their duty of reasonable care and

violations of New York State law.

333. Defendants, through their negligent and/or reckless acts, have repeatedly and

unreasonably invaded each and every Plaintiff's right to possession and undisturbed occupancy of

their residences and have repeatedly trespassed thereon by causing migration of toxic contaminants

onto Plaintiffs' real properties and harm to their persons.

**AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION: NEGLIGENCE** 

Plaintiffs reallege and reaffirm each and every allegation set forth in all preceding 334.

paragraphs as if fully restated herein.

Negligence may exist both as an omission as well as an affirmative act. A cause of

action sounding in negligence allows for the recovery for an injury that was proximately caused

by another's violation of a duty of reasonable care.

336. Here, the Defendants, as owners and operators of business(es) at the Site that

managed, stored, used and disposed of toxic contaminants and solvents, owed Plaintiffs a

cognizable duty to exercise reasonable care in the manufacturing process, storage, transportation,

- 46 -

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

and disposal of toxic chemicals, including but not limited to the Contaminants, and in the

maintenance of their tools and equipment used for such acts.

Defendants breached their duty of reasonable care, which a reasonably prudent 337.

person should use under the circumstances, by causing and/or allowing and/or failing to prevent

the release of the Contaminants into the air, soil, and groundwater in and around the Site and the

migration of the Contaminants towards and onto residential property, including property occupied

by Plaintiffs.

338. The release of the Contaminants into the air, soil and groundwater is the proximate

and legal cause of the injuries suffered by the Plaintiffs to their health and well-being and to their

properties.

339. Upon learning of the release of the Contaminants, Defendants owed Plaintiffs a

duty to timely notify Plaintiffs that these had occurred in the vicinity of Defendants' operations at

the Site.

340. Defendants breached that duty by failing to timely notify the Plaintiffs of the

releases of the Contaminants at the Site, and, consequently, in the vicinity of Plaintiffs' homes.

341. As a result of Defendants' breaches of their duty to timely notify the Plaintiffs, the

Plaintiffs were forestalled from undertaking effective and immediate remedial measures and

Plaintiffs have expended and/or will be forced to expend significant resources to test, monitor, and

remediate the effects of Defendants' negligence for many years into the future.

342. Upon learning of the release of the Contaminants, Defendants owed Plaintiffs a

duty to warn the Plaintiffs of the release of the Contaminants and the dangers to the Plaintiffs and

their properties that resulted therefrom.

- 47 -

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

343. Defendants breached this duty by failing to adequately warn the Plaintiffs of the

release of the Contaminants and the potential dangers and harms that could result.

The Defendants' failure to warn is the proximate and legal cause of the injuries 344.

suffered by the Plaintiffs to their health and well-being and to their properties.

Defendants further had a duty to the Plaintiffs, upon learning of the release of the 345.

Contaminants, to act reasonably to remediate, contain, and eliminate the discharges before the

Contaminants injured Plaintiffs and their property and/or to act reasonably to minimize the damage

to Plaintiffs.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

346. Defendants breached that duty by failing to act reasonably to remediate, contain,

and eliminate the discharges in a timely and effective manner before the Contaminants injured

Plaintiffs and their property and/or to act reasonably to minimize the damage to Plaintiffs.

As a result of Defendants' breaches of their duty to Plaintiffs by failing to act 347.

reasonably to remediate, contain, and eliminate the discharges, the Defendants' actions and

omissions are the proximate and legal cause of the injuries suffered by the Plaintiffs to their health

and well-being and to their properties.

348. Defendants had a duty to the Plaintiffs to ensure that all of their facilities were safe

and sufficiently secure as to prevent the release of the Contaminants into the environment

surrounding their facilities and into the Plaintiffs' homes.

Defendants negligently breached their duties to the Plaintiffs to ensure that all their 349.

facilities were safe and sufficiently secure as to prevent the release of the Contaminants into the

environment. As a result of this breach, Contaminants entered into the indoor and outdoor areas

used by the Plaintiffs and into Plaintiffs' homes and injured Plaintiffs.

- 48 -

NYSCEF DOC. NO.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

350. Defendants had a legal duty to promptly and properly investigate and remediate the

contamination from their activities at the Site and had full knowledge of the threat it poses to

human health and safety.

351. Defendants willfully and wantonly breached their legal duty to promptly and

properly investigate and remediate the contamination, despite full knowledge of the threat it poses

to human health and safety. This failure is the proximate and legal cause of the injuries suffered by

the Plaintiffs to their health and well-being and to their properties.

At the time Defendants breached their duties to Plaintiffs, Defendants' acts and/or 352.

failures to act posed recognizable and foreseeable possibilities of danger to Plaintiffs so apparent

as to entitle Plaintiffs to be protected against such actions or inactions.

353. Accordingly, Plaintiffs seek damages from Defendants, in an amount to be determined

at trial, in a sufficient amount to compensate them for the injuries and losses sustained, including but

not limited physical pain and suffering, physical disabilities, mental anguish, loss of the enjoyment of

life's pleasures, inability to participate in Plaintiffs' usual employment and activities, lost income and

lost earning opportunities, medical expenses (past and future), economic loss, loss of companionship,

services and support and other damages which are the natural and proximate result of Defendants'

conduct.

AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION: ABNORMALLY DANGEROUS ACTIVITY

354. Plaintiffs reallege and reaffirm each and every allegation set forth in all preceding

paragraphs as if fully restated herein.

355. Activities such as the disposal of hazardous chemical wastes constitute an abnormally

dangerous activity for which strict liability will apply.

- 49 -

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

356. Defendants' aforesaid failure to employ reasonable care which a reasonably

prudent person should use under the circumstances in the manufacturing process and by storing,

transporting, disposing of, or otherwise handling toxic substances, including the Contaminants,

constitutes ultra-hazardous and abnormally dangerous activities involving ultra-hazardous,

abnormally dangerous substances.

DOC. NO.

357. Defendants allowed or caused these ultra-hazardous and abnormally dangerous

substances to leak into the surrounding air, land and groundwater, and in doing so, failed to warn

Plaintiffs of the dangerous condition that was caused thereby.

358. The risks posed by such activities outweigh any value associated with the same. As

a result of said ultra-hazardous and abnormally dangerous activities, Plaintiffs have suffered

damages and imminent, substantial and impending harm to their health, families, and home values.

Plaintiffs have expended or will be forced to expend significant resources to address their injuries

caused by the contamination indefinitely for years and decades into the future.

By reason of the foregoing, Defendants are strictly liable in tort for the damages 359.

sustained by Plaintiffs.

360. Accordingly, Plaintiffs seek damages from Defendants, in an amount to be

determined at trial, in a sufficient amount to compensate them for the injuries and losses sustained,

including but not limited physical pain and suffering, physical disabilities, mental anguish, loss of

the enjoyment of life's pleasures, inability to participate in Plaintiffs' usual employment and

activities, lost income and lost earning opportunities, medical expenses (past and future), economic

loss, loss of companionship, services and support and other damages which are the natural and

proximate result of Defendants' conduct.

- 50 -

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION: PRIVATE NUISANCE

361. Plaintiffs reallege and reaffirm each and every allegation set forth in all preceding

paragraphs as if fully restated herein.

Under a cause of action for private nuisance, Parties may be subject to liability for 362.

environmental contamination if their conduct invades another's private use and enjoyment of land and

if such invasion is:

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

a) intentional and unreasonable;

b) negligent or reckless; or

c) actionable under the rules governing liability for abnormally dangerous

conditions or activities.

363. Defendants owned, occupied, controlled and/or still own, occupy and control the

real property at the Site.

Defendants owned, occupied, controlled and/or still own, occupy and control their

real property in such a way as to create and/or maintain and continue a dangerous and/or hazardous

condition.

365. At all times mentioned herein, Defendants had knowledge and/or notice of the

dangerous condition that the Contaminants in the air, soil and the toxic groundwater plumes

presented and failed to take reasonable steps to clean up, correct, or remediate that condition.

Additionally, Defendants owed a duty to Plaintiffs to take reasonable action to 366.

eliminate, correct, or remedy any dangerous condition existing on Defendants' property that was

reasonably foreseeable to injure Plaintiffs and/or Plaintiffs' real property, and of which they had

knowledge and/or notice.

367. Further, Defendants owed a duty to Plaintiffs to exercise reasonable care and skill

in the construction, maintenance, use or management of their property to prevent a structure,

- 51 -

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

appurtenance, or condition thereon from endangering the neighboring premises and occupants.

Defendants have breached these duties, and each of them, by negligently, willfully, and/or

wantonly creating a dangerous condition on their property by allowing massive quantities toxic

contaminants to be spilled, disposed of, or otherwise released into the air, ground, soil,

groundwater and/or aquifer on their property. This dangerous condition is reasonably foreseeable

to cause injury and damage to Plaintiffs and their property due to the size and nature of the releases

of the Contaminants and the proximity of Plaintiffs and their properties.

Defendants owed a duty to Plaintiffs to exercise reasonable care to keep the 368.

dangerous contaminants and their byproducts from being discharged or allowed to escape, enter

surrounding properties, and cause injury and damage.

369. Defendants breached their duty to Plaintiffs by failing to exercise reasonable care

and skill in the construction, maintenance, use or management of their property to prevent a

structure, appurtenance, or condition thereon from endangering the neighboring premises and

occupants. Specifically, Defendants negligently, willfully, and/or wantonly allowed massive

quantities of Contaminants to be disposed of, or otherwise released into the air, soil, groundwater

and/or aquifer at the Site.

370. Defendants further breached their duty to Plaintiffs by failing to exercise reasonable

care and by maintaining their property in such a condition as to allow large and unknown quantities

of the Contaminants to degrade, mix with other chemicals, and escape from their property and

enter onto and under adjacent areas, including Plaintiffs' properties. The above-described breaches

endangered, injured, and damaged the Plaintiffs. Such a dangerous condition is reasonably

foreseeable to cause injury and damage to Plaintiffs and their properties.

- 52 -

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

371. Defendants also breached their duty by continuing and maintaining this dangerous

condition at the Site that was reasonably foreseeable to injure Plaintiffs and their real property.

372. Plaintiffs have suffered foreseeable injury and damages proximately caused by the

negligent creation and/or maintenance of the dangerous condition by Defendants.

373. Defendants owed a duty to Plaintiffs to refrain from creating and/or maintaining a

dangerous condition on Defendants' properties that was reasonably foreseeable to injure Plaintiffs

and their real property.

Defendants breached that duty by causing dangerous Contaminants to be released 374.

onto Plaintiffs' land and caused noxious gases, fumes and odors to emanate from the soil and into

the homes.

DOC. NO.

375. Accordingly, this breach has caused Plaintiffs injury to their persons and property

that is certain, substantial, and this resulting condition interferes with Plaintiffs' physical comfort.

Furthermore, as the State mandated Amended ROD requires installing 24 376.

groundwater extraction wells, five treatment plants, four recharge basins and approximately 24 miles

of conveyance piping to contain and treat the contamination, those Plaintiffs who currently reside in

or near the affected neighborhoods, are suffering and will suffer the additional nuisance, loss of value,

disturbance and damages caused by the above work.

377. Accordingly, Plaintiffs seek damages from Defendants, in an amount to be determined

at trial, in a sufficient amount to compensate them for the injuries and losses sustained, including but

not limited physical pain and suffering, physical disabilities, mental anguish, loss of the enjoyment of

life's pleasures, inability to participate in Plaintiffs' usual employment and activities, lost income and

lost earning opportunities, medical expenses (past and future), economic loss, loss of companionship,

- 53 -

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

services and support, and other damages which are the natural and proximate result of Defendants'

conduct.

378. In addition, those Plaintiffs who are current property owners in the area of the

contaminant plumes seek general damages directly flowing from the nuisance, including, but not

limited to the difference between the current value of their property and such value if the harm had

not been done, the cost of repair or restoration, and consequential damages flowing from the

nuisance.

**AS AND FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION: TRESPASS** 

379. Plaintiffs reallege and reaffirm each and every allegation set forth in all preceding

paragraphs as if fully restated herein.

380. Environmental contamination of a property constitutes a trespass as it interferes with

the conditions of the property. This act of trespass, in and of itself, is objectionable.

381. Upon information and belief, Defendants had exclusive control over the Site at all

relevant times.

382. Upon information and belief Defendants' negligent, willful, and/or wanton actions

and/or intentional failures to act caused an uncontrolled quantity of Contaminants to be spilled,

disposed of, or otherwise released into the air, ground, soil, groundwater, and aquifer at the Site.

383. Upon information and belief, the Contaminants spilled, disposed of, or otherwise

released into the air, soil, groundwater, and aquifer at the Site entered and trespassed upon the land

and realty of the Plaintiffs, thus interfering with the condition of Plaintiffs' properties, causing an

injury to their possession and/or right of possession.

- 54 -

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

384. Upon information and belief, Defendants took affirmative, voluntary, and

intentional actions to store, use, and transport in an unsafe manner and/or intentionally to dispose

of the Contaminants into the air and ground.

385. Upon information and belief, at the time that the above described affirmative,

voluntary, and intentional acts were performed, Defendants had good reason to know or expect

that the large quantities of Contaminants would pass through the air, soil, groundwater, and aquifer

from Defendants' land to the land of Plaintiffs and the neighboring properties.

Upon information and belief, the above-described affirmative, voluntary, and 386.

intentional acts were performed with the willful intent and or reckless indifference to the fact that

the Contaminants would necessarily be dispersed through the air, soil, groundwater, and aquifer

and migrate onto the property of Plaintiffs, causing personal injury and property damage to

Plaintiffs.

DOC. NO.

Defendants' actions in disposing of uncontrolled amounts of the Contaminants into 387.

the ground were done with actual malice, and in wanton and willful and/or reckless disregard for

Plaintiffs' rights, health and property.

388. These voluntary actions resulted in the immediate and continued trespass of the

Contaminants on the Plaintiffs' properties, thus interfering with the condition of Plaintiffs'

property, causing personal injury and damage to Plaintiffs' health and damage to their property.

389. Additionally, and/or alternatively, Defendants' decision to delay and the resulting

delay in taking effective affirmative action to eliminate, correct, and/or remedy the contamination

after having knowledge and notice of said contamination were done with actual malice or

recklessly, and in wanton and willful and/or reckless disregard for Plaintiffs' rights, health and

property.

- 55 -

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

390. Further, Defendants' actions were patently insufficient to eliminate, correct, and/or

remedy the contamination after having knowledge and notice of said contamination.

391. These actions and omissions resulted in the trespass of the Contaminants on the

Plaintiffs' properties, thus interfering with the condition of Plaintiffs' property, causing injury and

damage to Plaintiffs, their property and their right of possession of their property.

392. Furthermore, as the State mandated Amended ROD requires installing 24

groundwater extraction wells, five treatment plants, four recharge basins and approximately 24 miles

of conveyance piping to contain and treat the contamination, those Plaintiffs who currently reside in

or near the affected neighborhoods, are suffering and will suffer the additional nuisance, loss of value,

disturbance and damages caused by the above work.

393. Accordingly, Plaintiffs seek damages from Defendants, in an amount to be determined

at trial, in a sufficient amount to compensate them for the injuries and losses sustained, including but

not limited physical pain and suffering, physical disabilities, mental anguish, loss of the enjoyment of

life's pleasures, inability to participate in Plaintiffs' usual employment and activities, lost income and

lost earning opportunities, medical expenses (past and future), economic loss, loss of companionship,

services and support, and other damages which are the natural and proximate result of Defendants'

conduct.

DOC. NO.

394. In addition, those Plaintiffs who are current property owners in the area of the

contaminant plumes seek nominal and general damages directly flowing from the trespass, including,

but not limited to the difference between the current value of their property and such value if the harm

had not been done and the cost of repair or restoration.

- 56 -

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

**PUNITIVE DAMAGES** 

395. Plaintiffs reallege and reaffirm each and every allegation set forth in all preceding

paragraphs as if fully restated herein.

SCEF DOC. NO. 1

396. Upon information and belief, Defendants engaged in willful, wanton, malicious,

and or/reckless conduct that caused the foregoing personal injuries, property damage, nuisances,

and trespasses, disregarding the health and property rights of Plaintiffs.

397. Defendants' tortious conduct includes but is not limited to:

a) Knowingly and recklessly discharging Contaminants at the Site, knowing

that they would enter the air, groundwater and other media and

contaminate neighboring properties;

b) Issuing no warning to Plaintiffs concerning the release of Contaminants

from the Site, in the vicinity of Plaintiffs' real property;

c) Knowing but failing to disclose to Plaintiffs the certainty of long-lasting

soil, air, and water contamination at the Site, the Park, and nearby

residential areas, including Plaintiffs' residences; and

d) Failing to appropriately remediate the contamination after the

Contaminants were detected, causing the contamination to persist and

spread for decades.

398. Defendants have caused great harm to Plaintiffs' person, property, water supplies,

indoor and outdoor air, and demonstrated an outrageous conscious disregard for Plaintiffs' safety

with implied malice, warranting the imposition of punitive damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand judgment against Defendants NORTHROP

GRUMMAN CORPORATION and NORTHROP GRUMMAN SYSTEMS CORPORATION for

each and every cause of action alleged herein, granting Plaintiffs compensatory and punitive

damages, including all current and future costs and expenses associated with their injuries and

- 57 -

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

damages, together with the costs and disbursements of this action, and state that the amounts sought herein exceed the jurisdictional limits of all lower courts which would otherwise have jurisdiction.

## **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury of all claims asserted in this Verified Complaint.

Dated: August 11, 2023

Melville, New York

Of Counsel:

Paul J. Napoli, Esq.

NS PR LAW SERVICES LLC

1302 Avenida Ponce de León
San Juan PR 00907-3982

Tel. (833) 271-4502

pnapoli@nsprlaw.com

Robert Gitelman, Esq.

NAPOLI SHKOLNIK PLLC

400 Broadhollow Road

Suite 305

Melville NY 11747-4810

Tel. (212) 397-1000

rgitelman@napolilaw.com

Counsel for Plaintiffs

/s/ Robert Gitelman

Gregory A. Cade, Esq.

(Pro Hac Vice application forthcoming)
Gary Anderson, Esq.

(Pro Hac Vice application forthcoming)
Kevin B. McKie, Esq.

(Pro Hac Vice application forthcoming)
ENVIRONMENTAL LITIGATION

GROUP, P.C.

2160 Highland Avenue South Birmingham AL 35205-4034 Tel. (205) 328-9200 FILED: NASSAU COUNTY CLERK 08/11/2023 12:22 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

## **VERIFICATION**

STATE OF NEW YORK ) ss: COUNTY OF SUFFOLK )

ROBERT GITELMAN, an attorney duly admitted to practice law in the Courts of this State, affirms the following under penalties of perjury:

I am the attorney for the Plaintiff(s) in the above entitled-action. I have read the foregoing **VERIFIED COMPLAINT** and know the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, affirmant believes after an inquiry reasonable under the circumstances the matters alleged herein to be true, and that the contentions herein are not frivolous, as that term is defined in 22 NYCRR § 130-1.1(c).

This verification is made by your affirmant because Plaintiffs are not presently in the county wherein your affirmant maintains an office.

The source of affirmant's information and the grounds of his belief are communications, papers, reports and investigations contained in the file maintained by this office.

Dated: August 11, 2023 Melville, New York /s/Robert Gitelman
Robert Gitelman, Esq.
NAPOLI SHKOLNIK PLLC
400 Broadhollow Road
Suite 305
Melville NY 11747-4810
Tel. (212) 397-1000
rgitelman@napolilaw.com

Counsel for Plaintiffs

FILED: NASSAU COUNTY CLERK 08/11/2023 12:22 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 612842/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/11/2023

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF NASSAU	
NICHOLAS CEGLIO, et al.,	Index No:
Plaintiffs,	
<i>v</i> .	Tenth Judicial District
NORTHROP GRUMMAN CORPORATION, et al.,	
Defendants.	
PLAINTIFFS' VERIF	TIED COMPLAINT
Attorneys for 400 Broadhollow I Melville, N (212) 397	Road, Suite 305 Y 11747 7-1000 ==================================
	Robert Gitelman pert Gitelman, Esq.
Service of a copy of the within Dated, ATTORNEY(S) FOR	is hereby admitted.
□ NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT  that an order of which the within i  the HON. one or	opy of an duly entered in and court on200  s a true copy will be presented for settlement to f the judges of the within named Court, atO'clockM.
Dated,	

Yours, **NAPOLI SHKOLNIK PLLC**